

Food and feeding habits of a herbivore fish *Sarpa salpa* (L.) (Teleostei, Sparidae) in the southern Adriatic (Croatia)

Boris ANTOLIĆ¹, Boško SKARAMUCA², Ante ŠPAN¹,
Damir MUŠIN² and Jakica SANKO-NJIRE²

¹ *Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Split, Croatia*

² *Biological Institute, Dubrovnik, Croatia*

The diet of salema (Sarpa salpa L.) (2-3 years old) was studied in the southern Adriatic (the area of Dubrovnik). The gut content analysis showed a great diversity of benthic algae (101 taxa) and one marine phanerogam (Posidonia oceanica). The Rhodophyta taxa seem to be most the important component of the diet. Food of animal origin was very poorly represented; it was composed mainly of epibionts, presumably taken by chance.

INTRODUCTION

Salema (*Sarpa salpa* L., Sparidae), has an oval and elongated body, is of yellowish-silvery color with yellow-green longitudinal bands, and reaches 51 cm in length and 3 kg in weight (BINI, 1968; TORTONESE, 1975; GRUBIŠIĆ, 1982).

It is commonly found throughout the Mediterranean, and occasionally in the Black Sea. It can also be found on the eastern Atlantic coast (from the Bay of Biscay to South Africa, around the Madeira, the Canary Islands and the Azores) and in sporadically along the eastern coast of African (BINI, 1968; FISHER, 1973; TORTONESE, 1975; JOUBERT and HANEKEN, 1980). It is distributed along the entire coastal belt of the Adriatic Sea.

This species lives in schools along rock-bottomed coasts. It is found in depths up to 20 m, where it is covered with vegetation with which it feeds (GRUBIŠIĆ, 1982). Its teeth and gut

length are adapted to this kind of food and feeding behaviour (ONOFRI, 1987; CHRISTENSEN, 1978). This species spawns in September - October and is a permanent hermaphrodite (TORTONESE, 1975).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Material was obtained from professional fishermen during 1989. Catches were taken from the southern coast of the Adriatic (the vicinity of Dubrovnik and along the coasts of the Lokrum Island). All fishes were captured early in the morning. In the laboratory, the age of fish, total length (TL) in cm, weight (W) in g, gut length (GI) in cm, the weight of gut (WG) in g and fullness index (JR) in % were determined. The age of fish (second and third year) was determined by standard techniques based on annual otolith rings (Table 1). The fullness index (JR) was calculated using the formula:

$$JR (\%) = \frac{mc \text{ (mass of gut contents in g)}}{mr \text{ (fish mass in g)}} \times 100$$

(HUREAN, 1970)

Analysis of the gut content was performed microscopically.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As with other herbivore organisms, the length of the intestine in *Sarpa salpa* (L.) exceeds its body length. The fullness index showed no essential differences in feeding dynamics during the year (Table 1).

Table 1. Age and biometrical data (TL-total length, W-weight, GI-gut length, Wg-weight of gut, JR-fullness index) of analyzed specimens of *Sarpa salpa* (L.)

Month:	Age	TL(cm)	W(g)	GI(cm)	wg (a)	JR(%)
January						
Fish No. 1	1+	14.5	42.5	34.0	4.0	9.4
Fish No. 2	1+	15.0	50.8	34.0	5.0	9.8
February						
Fish No. 1	1+	15.5	50.4	42.0	7.1	14.1
Fish No. 2	1+	14.8	43.1	41.2	5.9	13.7
March						
Fish No. 1	2+	23.0	170.2	42.0	13.0	7.6
Fish No. 2	2+	21.5	150.3	43.0	19.1	12.7
April						
Fish No. 1	2+	20.0	128.3	48.0	22.2	17.3
Fish No. 2	2+	23.2	165.8	42.0	22.7	16.4
May						
Fish No. 1	2+	21.5	151.2	42.0	21.5	14.2
Fish No. 2	2+	21.2	138.6	60.0	18.9	13.6
June						
Fish No. 1	2+	23.0	182.8	40.0	31.8	17.4
Fish No. 2	2+	22.0	175.8	50.0	34.8	19.8
July						
Fish No. 1	2+	20.0	105.3	40.0	10.9	10.4
Fish No. 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
August						
Fish No. 1	1+	18.5	109.1	50.0	20.5	18.8
Fish No.2	1+	16.0	60.3	48.0	11.5	19.1
October						
Fish No. 1	1	12.0	28.3	28.0	4.2	14.8
Fish No. 2	1	13.0	33.9	33.0	5.1	15.0
November						
Fish No. 1	1	13.7	46.4	43.0	7.6	16.4
Fish No. 2	1	14.0	47.5	47.0	7.6	16.0
December						
Fish No. 1	2	18.0	81.2	34.0	4.8	5.9
Fish No. 2	2	17.5	71.1	38.0	5.2	7.3

Table 2. continued 1

Month: Fish No.	Jan.		Feb.		Mar.		Apr.		May		Jun.		Jul.		Aug.		Oct.		Nov.		Dec.	
	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
<i>Laurencia pinnatifida</i> (Huds.) Lamour.	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
<i>Lomentaria chyocladia</i> Funk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lomentaria clavellosa</i> (Turner) Gaill.	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lomentaria verticillata</i> Funk	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lomentaria</i> sp.	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lophosiphonia obscura</i> (C. Ag.) Falk.	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Peyssonnelia rubra</i> (Grev.) J. Ag.	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Polysiphonia elongata</i> (Huds.) Spreng.	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Polysiphonia fruticulosa</i> (Wulf.) Spreng.	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Polysiphonia opaca</i> (C. Ag.) Zan.	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Polysiphonia</i> sp.	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pterocladia capillacea</i> (Gmel.) Born et Thur.	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Pterosiphonia pennata</i> (C. Ag.) Falk.	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-
<i>Pterothamnion plumula</i> (Ellis) Nag. var. <i>crispum</i> (Ducl.) Nag. ex Hauck	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Rhodophyllis divaricata</i> (Stackh.) Papenf.	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Rodriguezella strafforellii</i> Schm.	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Rytiphloaea tinctoria</i> (Clem.) C. Ag.	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sebdenia dichotoma</i> Berth.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Spermothamnion johanis</i> Feldm.-Mazoy.	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Spondilothamnion multifidum</i> (Huds.) Nag.	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Spyridia filamentosa</i> (Wulf.) Harv.	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Stylonema alsidii</i> (Zanard.) Drew	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Stylonema cornu-cervi</i> Reinsch	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Taenioma nanum</i> (Kütz.) Papenf.	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHAEOPHYTA																						
<i>Cladosiphon mediterraneus</i> Kütz.	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cutleria multifida</i> (Smith) Grev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cystoseira compressa</i> (Esp.) Ger. et Nizam.	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
f. <i>rosetta</i> (Erceg.) Corm. et al.	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cystoseira corniculata</i> (Wulf.) Zan. ssp. <i>laxior</i> Erceg.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cystoseira spinosa</i> Sauvag.	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cystoseira</i> sp.	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Dictyopteris polypodioides</i> (D. C.) Lamour.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Dictyota dichotoma</i> (Hudson) Lamour. var. <i>dichotoma</i>	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
var. <i>intricata</i> (C. Ag.) Grev.	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-
<i>Dictyota linearis</i> (C. Ag.) Grev.	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Dilophus fasciola</i> (Roth) Howe	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Ectocarpus siliculosus</i> (Dillw.) Lyng.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Feldmannia caespitula</i> (J. Ag.) Knoep.-Peg.	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
var. <i>lebelii</i> (Aresch. ex Crou. et Crou.) Knoep.-Peg.	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Feldmannia irregularis</i> (Kütz.) Hamel	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Halopteris filicina</i> (Grat.) Kütz.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-

Table 2. continued 2

Month: Fish No.	Jan.		Feb.		Mar.		Apr.		May		Jun.		Jul.		Aug.		Oct.		Nov.		Dec.	
	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
<i>Halopteris scopara</i> (L.) Sauv.	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Hincksia dalmatica</i> (Erceg.) Corm. et Furn.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nereia filiformis</i> (J. Ag.) Zan.	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Padina pavonica</i> (L.) Thivy	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sargassum vulgare</i> C. Ag.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Sphacelaria cirrosa</i> (Roth) C. Ag.	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+
<i>Sphacelaria fusca</i> (Huds.) S. F. Gray	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sphacelaria plumula</i> Zan.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Stilophora rhizodes</i> (Turn.) J. Ag.	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Zanardinia prototypus</i> (Nardo) Nardo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHLOROPHYTA																						
<i>Acetabularia acetabulum</i> (L.) Silva	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Bryopsis cupressoides</i> Kütz.	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Bryopsis duplex</i> De Not.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Bryopsis hypnoides</i> Lamour.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Bryopsis</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Chaetomorpha aerea</i> (Good. ex Dillw.) Kütz.	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Cladophora coelothrix</i> Kütz.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cladophora lemmaniana</i> (Linden.) Kütz.	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
<i>Cladophora pellucida</i> (Huds.) Kütz.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cladophora prolifera</i> (Roth) Kütz.	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cladophora</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Enteromorpha compressa</i> (L.) Nees	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Enteromorpha multiramosa</i> Blid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Enteromorpha prolifera</i> (Mull.) J. Ag.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Rhizoclonium tortuosum</i> (Dillw.) Kütz.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Ulva rigida</i> C. Ag.	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
ANGIOSPERMAE																						
<i>Posidonia oceanica</i> (L.) Delile	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+

A single analysis of the gut contents of *Sarpa salpa* (L.) from the island area of the middle Adriatic showed the presence of 15 algal taxa, with the domination of *Laurencia obtusa* (Rhodophyta) and *Cystoseira compressa* (Phaeophyta) (PELIVAN, 1981).

The analysis of gut contents of very young *Sarpa salpa* (L.) from southern Adriatic (SKARAMUCA and SANKO-NJIRE, 1988), showed the presence of 32 benthic algae taxa. The Rhodophyta taxa was dominant by number (21 taxa or 65.0 %) but the Chlorophyta dominated the biomass (*Ulva rigida*, *Enteromorpha prolifera*

and *Cladophora* sp.). Epibiontic species, mostly diatoms, were also found.

VERLAQUE (1990) studied the feeding of *Sarpa salpa* (L.) from the Mediterranean (Corsica, Bouches-du-Rhone, Var) and determined 138 taxa of benthic algae (Rhodophyta 75 taxa or 54.3 %, Phaeophyta 50 taxa or 36.4 %, Chlorophyta 13 taxa or 9.4 %). Young fish (7.5 - 15.0 cm in length) showed a marked preference for epiphytic algae and algal "turfs" Rhodophyta and Phaeophyta, whereas the food of adults (15.0 - 22.0 cm and over) was composed of mainly erect Phaeophyta and Chloro-

phyta algae, and of epiphytic algae on *Posidonia oceanica* leaves.

In our investigations, the total number of benthic algae taxa per fish specimen varied from 4 to 35 (mean value 15.7). In Rhodophyta, the number of taxa per fish specimen varied from 1 to 25 (mean value 8.5) and the percen-

tage from 22.2 to 76.7 %. In Phaeophyta, the number of taxa per fish specimen varied from 1 to 10 (mean value 4.1) and the percentage from 11.1 to 77.8 %, whereas in Chlorophyta the number of taxa varied from 1 to 8 (mean value 3.1) and the percentage from 5.9 to 55.6 % (Table 3).

Table 3. Numbers (N) and percentage (%) presence of principal systematic groups (Rhodophyta, Phaeophyta and Chlorophyta) of benthic algae in gut contents of *Sarpa salpa* (L.) from the southern Adriatic

Month:	Rhodophyta		Phaeophyta		Chlorophyta		TOTAL N
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
January							
Fish No. 1	3	37.5	2	25.0	3	37.5	8
Fish No. 2	13	61.9	4	19.0	4	19.0	21
February							
Fish No. 1	25	71.4	6	17.1	4	11.4	35
Fish No. 2	23	76.7	5	16.7	2	6.7	30
March							
Fish No. 1	9	47.4	8	42.1	2	10.5	19
Fish No. 2	14	53.8	10	38.5	2	7.7	26
April							
Fish No. 1	4	33.3	2	16.7	6	50.0	12
Fish No. 2	9	36.0	10	40.0	6	24.0	25
May							
Fish No. 1	9	52.9	7	41.2	1	5.9	17
Fish No. 2	12	52.2	9	39.1	2	8.7	23
June							
Fish No. 1	1	25.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	4
Fish No. 2	2	40.0	1	20.0	2	40.0	5
July							
Fish No. 1	2	22.2	7	77.8	-	-	9
Fish No. 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
August							
Fish No. 1	15	57.7	3	11.5	8	30.8	26
Fish No. 2	4	57.1	1	14.3	2	28.6	7
October							
Fish No. 1	3	33.3	1	11.1	5	55.5	9
Fish No. 2	3	50.0	-	-	3	50.0	6
November							
Fish No. 1	10	55.6	4	22.2	4	22.2	18
Fish No. 2	9	60.0	2	13.3	4	26.7	15
December							
Fish No. 1	6	54.5	2	18.2	3	27.3	11
Fish No. 2	2	50.0	2	50.0	-	-	4
Mean values	178/21=8.5		87/21=4.1		65/21=3.1		330/21=15.7

The diet of the examined fish was dominated by the Rhodophyta taxa (in 12 specimens or 57.1 %). The same number of Rhodophyta and Chlorophyta taxa was recorded in 3 specimens (or 14.3 %), and the same number of Rhodophyta and Phaeophyta taxa was recorded from 1 specimen (or 4.8 %). Phaeophyta taxa were numerically dominant in 2 specimens (or 9.5 %) and the largest number of taxa of Chlorophyta was established in 3 specimens (or 14.3 % of the total).

The occurrence of individual taxa of benthic algae in guts, which were 88 taxa (or 87.1 %) of the 101 studied were found in less than 30.0 % of gut contents analyzed (21). The remaining 13 taxa (or 13.0 %) of the total number determined, was found in more than 30.1 % of the gut contents analyzed.

The qualitative analysis showed that it is possible to separate the benthic algae taxa most commonly found in the gut contents. In 7 or 33.3 %, and in 8 or 38.1 % analyzed gut contents, 4 taxa of benthic algae (*Gelidium latifolium* var. *latifolium*, *Ceramium ciliatum*, *Laurencia obtusa* and *Stypocaulon scoparium*) were recorded. In 9 or 42.9 %, and in 10 or 47.6 % of analyzed gut contents, 5 taxa of benthic algae (*Gelidium crinale*, *Laurencia pinnatifida*, *Pterosiphonia pennata*, *Dictyota dichotoma* var. *intricata* and *Chaetomorpha aerea*) were found. In 13 or 61.9 %, and in 14 or 66.7 % of analyzed gut contents, 3 taxa of benthic algae (*Sphacelaria cirrosa*, *Chladophora lehmaniana* and *Ulva rigida*) were identified. The species *Hypnea musciformis* (Rhodophyta) was recorded in almost all of the analyzed gut contents (17 or 81.0 %) (Table 2).

Quantitative analysis showed that the highest biomass of *Gelidium latifolium* var. *luxurians*, *Ceramium diaphanum* var. *diaphanum*, *Ceramium diaphanum* var. *strictum*, *Polysiphonia fruticulosa*, *Chondria tenuissima* and *Laurencia pinnatifida* (Rhodophyta), and *Cystoseira corniculata* ssp. *laxior* (Phaeophyta) was dominant in 4.8 % of the total number of analyzed gut contents (21). In 9.5 % of the total number of analyzed gut contents, determined the highest biomass of *Gelidium latifolium* var. *latifolium*, *Gelidium pusillum*, *Pterocladia capillacea*, *Hypnea musciformis*, *Ceramium rubrum* var. *barbatum* and *Spyridia filamentosa* (Rhodophyta), and *Sphacelaria cirrosa* (Phaeophyta) were identified. The species *Laurencia obtusa* (Rhodophy-

ta) and *Ulva rigida* (Chlorophyta) dominated by biomass in 19.0 % and 66.7 % of total number of analyzed gut contents.

The high diversity of food of plant origin may be due to the fact that the fish examined came from an area with rich benthic algae and marine phanerogam flora and vegetation. According to the results of ŠPAN *et al.* (1989), the flora of benthic algae and marine phanerogams around the Lokrum Island is relatively rich in taxa (280). However, not all the consumed plant forms participate in the structure of the benthic plant community in an identical way. The bigger forms represent the epilithic portion of the developed benthic vegetation, while a number of smaller forms partake in the development of phytocoenose as epiphytes. Epilithes are important quantitatively while epiphytes dominate qualitatively in diets. Furthermore, research showed that partially all taxa of higher biomass found in the gut contents studied belonged to the epilithic portion of the developed benthic phytocoenoses. However, taxa whose frequency was rather high, also belonged to the epilithic forms. All of this information supports the conclusion that *Sarpa salpa* (L.) is a selective feeder that picks-up mainly epilithes, and also takes smaller taxa quite by chance (mainly epiphytes) along with other food.

The food of animal origin was present in very small quantities. Few pieces of chitin parts of Harpacticoid copepods, some copepodite stages, an Apendicularian and a Chaetognath were found. This fact was confirmed by results of the earlier investigations of feeding a herbivore fish *Sarpa salpa*.

TORTONESE (1975) reported that *Sarpa salpa* (L.) feeds on plant food and during its younger stages on small crustaceans. Specimens of up to 30 mm in length feed on plant and animal resources: phytoplankton, mainly diatoms, and zooplankton, predominantly copepods (BINI, 1968). Fish of greater length feed exclusively on plant food, such as algae and littoral diatoms (LO BIANCO, 1909). Feeding behaviour of *Sarpa salpa* (L.) changes with fish size (CHRISTENSEN, 1978). Small fish of 10-25 mm in length mainly take Harpacticoid copepods. Diets of bigger fish (25-35 mm in length), mainly consists of diatoms and red algae (Rhodophyta) with a small proportion of food of animal origin, mainly Hydrozoa. *Sarpa salpa* (L.) is

quite easily adaptable to food of exclusively animal origin (SKARAMUCA and SANKO-NJIRE, 1988).

REFERENCES

- BINI, G. 1968. Atlante dei pesci coste italiane. 4 - Pesciformes Ed. Mondo Somerso, Milano: 175 pp.
- CHRISTENSEN, M. S. 1978. Trophic relationship in juveniles of species of sparoid fishes in the South African marine littoral. Unit. Stat. Nat. Mar. Fish. Serv., Fishery Bull., 76: 389-401.
- FISHER, W. (ED). 1973. FAO species identification sheets for fisheries purposes. Mediterranean and Black Sea (fishing area 37), 1: p. var.
- GRUBIŠIĆ, F. 1982. Fishes, crustaceans and shells of the Adriatic (in Croatian). Liburnija-Naprijed, Rijeka-Zagreb: 239 pp.
- HUREAN, J. C. 1970. Biologie comparée de quelques de Poissons antarctiques (Nototheniidae). Bull. Int. Oceanogr., Monaco, 68 (1391): 1-244.
- JOUBERT, C. S. and P. B. HANKOM. 1980. A study of feeding in some inshore reef fishes of the Watal Coast, South Africa. South Afr. Journ. Zool., 15: 262-274.
- ONOFRI, J. 1987. Structure, shape and position of teeth in fish (Pisces, Osteichthyes). Mor. rib., 2: 52-58.
- PELIVAN, A. 1981. Effects of various food types and starvation on the growth rates and biochemical composition of juvenile salpae (in Croatian). MSc. Thesis Vet. Coll. Univ. Zagreb, Vet. Coll. Univ. Zagreb, 65 pp.
- SKARAMUCA, B. and J. SANKO-NJIRE. 1988. Influence of different food on the growth of experimentally reared herbivorous fishes (*Sarpa salpa* L.), Stud. Marina, 53-62.
- ŠPAN, A., A. POŽAR-DOMAC, B. ANTOLIĆ and J. BELAMARIĆ. 1989. Benthos of the littoral area of the Lokrum Island (in Croatian). HED, Ecolog. Monography, 1: 329-360.
- TORTONESE, E. 1975. Osteichthyes (pesci ossei) 2. Fauna d' Italia, 11. Ed. Colderini, Bologna: 636 pp.
- VERLAQUE, M. 1990. Relations entre *Sarpa salpa* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Teleosteen, Sparidae), les autres poissons brouteurs et le phytobenthos algal mediterraneen. Ocean. Acta, 13 (3): 373-388.

Accepted: July 4, 1995

Hrana i ishrana biljojede ribe *Sarpa salpa* (L.) (Teleostei, Sparidae) u južnom Jadranu (Hrvatska)

Boris ANTOLIĆ¹, Boško SKARAMUCA², Ante ŠPAN¹,
Damir MUŠIN² i Jakica SANKO-NJIRE²

¹ *Institut za oceanografiju i ribarstvo, Split, Hrvatska*

² *Biološki zavod, Dubrovnik, Hrvatska*

KRATKI SADRŽAJ

U ovom se radu iznose podaci o hrani i ishrani biljojede ribe *Sarpa salpa* (L.) u južnom Jadranu (područje Dubrovnika) stare između 2 i 3 godine. Pregledom sadržaja crijevnog kanala ukupno je određena 101 svojta bentoskih alga (Rhodophyta 60 svojti ili 59.6 %, Phaeophyta 25 svojti ili 24.8 % i Chlorophyta 16 svojti ili 15.8 %) i jedna vrsta morske cvjetnice (*Posidonia oceanica*). U hrani se mogu izdvojiti svojte bentoskih alga koje svojom nazočnošću i biomasom najznačajnije sudjeluju u njenom sastavu. Hrana životinjskog podrijetla je vrlo skromno zastupljena i to uglavnom kao epibionti.