Acta Adriat., 27 (1-2): 5-14 (1986)

YU ISSN: 0001 - 5113 AADRAY

Original scientific paper 551.465.5 (262.3)

ON THE PROFILE OF TIDAL CURRENTS IN THE NORTHERN ADRIATIC

O PROFILU STRUJA MORSKIH MIJENA U SJEVERNOM JADRANU

Mario Bone

Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Split, Yugoslavia

Homogeneous vertical eddy viscosity coefficient is assumed for the tidal current in the northern Adriatic. The order of magnitude of this eddy viscosity coefficient is studied. The results were obtained comparins the measured current profiles with the profiles obtained from the assumed hydrodynamical model. The order of magnitude of the vertical eddy viscosity coefficient of 100 cm²/s seems to be, in general, acceptable independently of season or position. The data do not demonstrate the existence of a separate bottom turbulent layer characteristic for low viscosity or supression of negative rotary components characteristic for hish viscosity.

INTRODUCTION

The tidal current in the shallow sea in most of the cases can be in good approximation described by Ekman's equations (Nihoul et al., 1979).

The validity of these equations for the tidal current in the Northern Adriatic, where in the summer the strong stratification occurs, is discussable. However, it is assumed in this article. The major problem in these three-dimensional tidal computations is uncertainity about the vertical eddy viscosity coefficient (T e e, 1979). Here, the time independent and vertically homogeneous eddy viscosity is assumed. In this hydrodynamical model for the tidal current the hypothesis for eddy viscosity coefficient of different order of magnitude is tested on the current data collected from the open northern Adriatic in summer and in the coastal Vir sea area in winter.

Assuming the order of magnitude of the vertical eddy viscosity coefficient to be 10, 100 and 1000 cm^2/s the consistence of so obtained tidal current profiles from the model with data is discussed. In the case of the here considered time series it is also possible to examine the possible influence of

stratification and vicinity of the coast on the order of magnitude of the vertical eddy viscosity coefficient in the model. The result of this examination is an appropriate order of magnitude for the vertical eddy viscosity coefficient in the model. We have also sot informed about possible tidal current profiles of this model.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The ordinary simplified equations of the tidal current hydrodynamics are Ekman's equations (Nihoul et al., 1979)

- (1)a $\partial t(u) f \cdot v = -g \cdot \partial x(h) + \partial z(N \cdot \partial z(u))$
- (1)b $\partial f(v) + f \cdot u = -g \cdot \partial y(h) + \partial z(N \cdot \partial z(v))$
- (1)c $\partial t(h) + \partial x(\int_{-\Pi}^{0} u \cdot dz) + \partial y(\int_{-\Pi}^{0} v \cdot dz) = 0$

where ∂t , ∂x , ∂y and ∂z denate partial derivatives with respect to time t, horizontal space coordinates x, y and vertical coordinate z, g is acceleration of gravity, u and v denote horizontal velocity components, h is the free-surface elevationo, H bottom depth, N the vertical eddy viscosity coefficient and f is Coriolis parameter. The numerical solutions obtained from these equations are represented by the time dependent velocity in the three-dimensional space and the sea surface elevation that depends on time and horizontal coordinates. The main problem is appropriate definition of vertical eddy viscosity coefficient (T e e, 1979). The vertical eedy viscosity coefficient can be assumed linear, i.e. time independent. The effect of the non-linearity in N on the tidal current profile was investisated by J o h n s (1976) in a numerical model of tidal channel. Following this result N is the function of position only in the three-dimensional space. It must be noted that knowledge of N dependence on the vertical coordinate is scarce because sufficiently adequate measurements and developed theory do not exist (T e e, 1979).

In the this the possibility and significance of the application of the time independent and vertically homogeneous eddy viscosity coefficient to the tidal current in the open northern Adriatic and in the coastal Adriatic discussed.

From the E k m a n's equations (1) T e e (1979) obtained the following solution for the tidal current profile of the vertically homogeneous and time independent vertical eddy viscosity coefficient

(2)a $u\omega = (D \cdot A/a) \cdot \cosh(a \cdot \zeta) + (D \cdot B/b) \cdot \cosh(b \cdot \zeta) + C_1$

(2)b
$$\mathbf{v}\omega = -\mathbf{i} \cdot \{ (\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{a}) \cdot \cosh(\mathbf{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}) - (\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{b}) \cdot \cosh(\mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}) \} + \mathbf{C}_2$$

where $\zeta = z/D$, D denotes bottom depth and where the velocity (u, v) is expanded in Fourier series $(u, v) = \Sigma \omega$ $(u\omega, v\omega) \cdot \exp(i \cdot \omega \cdot t)$ $(\Sigma \omega$ denotes summation over circual frequencies ω) and where there are

 $a = (1 + i) \cdot D \sqrt{(\omega + f)/(2 \cdot N)}, \qquad b = (1 + i) \cdot D \sqrt{(\omega - f)/(2 \cdot N)}$ $C_1 = - \{ (D \cdot A/a) \cdot \cosh(a) + (D \cdot B/b) \cdot \cosh(b) \}$ $C_2 = i \cdot \{ (D \cdot A/a) \cdot \cosh(a) - (D \cdot B/b) \cdot \cosh(b) \}$

For $(U\omega, V\omega) = \int_{-1}^{0} (u\omega, v\omega) \cdot d\zeta$, there are

$$D \cdot A/a = -(U\omega + i \cdot V\omega)/(2 \cdot L_1), L_1 = \cosh(a) - \sinh(a)/a$$

$$D \cdot B/b = -(U\omega - i \cdot V\omega)/(2 \cdot L_2), \quad L_2 = \cosh(b) - \sinh(b)/b$$

In the T e e's (1979) article (u, v) is defined as

 $(u v) = \text{Re} \{(u\omega, v\omega) \cdot \exp(i \cdot \omega \cdot t)\}$. The definition which is used here differs from T e e's definition. The T e e's definitions is more restrictive and does not give the possibility to introduce G o n e l l a's rotary components which are the basis of further discussion in this article. As the formalism used by T e e in order to obtain the equations (2) is the same and for here considered case we refer to T e e's article for the proof of this equations.

Using a rotary component method (G o n e ll a, 1972) there are $s\omega p = u\omega + i \cdot v\omega$ cnjg (s ω m) = cnjg {u (- ω) + i · v (- ω) = u ω - i · v ω

(s ω p positive and s ω m negative rotary component of angular frequency ω , cnjg denotes complex conjugate) and S ω p = U ω + i · U ω , cnjg (S ω m) = U ω — i · V ω . In this case it may be written

$$2 \cdot D \cdot A/a = -S\omega p/L_1$$
 and $2 \cdot D \cdot B/b = -cnjg (S\omega m)/L_2$

wherefrom

(3)a
$$|s\omega p|/|S\omega p| = f\omega p (\zeta, D, \omega, N) = | \{\cosh(a \cdot \zeta) - \cosh(a)\}/L_1 |$$

(3)b
$$|s\omega m|/|S\omega m| = f\omega m (\zeta, D, \omega, N) = | \{\cosh(b \cdot \zeta) - \cosh(b)\}/L_2 |$$

The rotation of barotropic current hodograph does not give any information on current hodograph at the actual depth. This is due to the fact that vertical scales of positive and negative rotary components are not the same. Functions $f \omega p$ and $f \omega m$ describe how this rotary components are distributed vertically for an arbitrary barotropic current.

The caracteristic bottom depth D is 50 m for the Northern Adriatic and its coastal area. The tidal current profile is discussed so that ω denotes diurnal and semidiurnal rotary frequencies. It is suitable to obtain the functions f ω p and f ω m for N = 10, 100, 1000 cm²/s. They differ qualitatively. The graphs are given in figs. 1—6). The E k m a n layer thickness is 4 m for N = 10 cm²/s and 14 m and 45 for N = 100 cm²/s and N = 1000 cm²/s respectively. In the case N = 10 cm²/s the motion can be classified as ideal sluid motion with turbulent bottom layer. For N = 100 cm²/s and N = 1000 cm²/s the influence of turbulent bottom layer is presented in the entire water column from bottom to surface. In the case N = 1000 cm²/s the negative rotary components are supressed and only positive rotary component is identifiable.

The current meter data from stations Panon and Trata (see fig. 7) were studied in order to discuss their compatibility with the model profiles. The former are the data for the open northern Adriatic in summer and the latter are the data from the coastal Adriatic in winter. By the method of harmonic analysis of the tide (e.s. Defant, 1961) and rotary component method (G on ella, 1972) the values swp and swm are obtained.



Fig. 1. for and for for semidiurnal tidal components, D = 50 m, $N = 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}.$

 $N = 1000 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}.$



1,20



Fig. 4. for and for for diurnal tidal components. D = 50 m, N = 10 $cm^{2/s}$.





Fig. 5. fop and fom for diurnal tidal components. $D = 50 \text{ m}, N = 100 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}.$





Fig. 7. Positions of the current-meter stations

RESULTS

From the equations (3) it is possible to calculate $|S\omega p|$ and $|S\omega m|$ from $|s\omega p|$ and $|s\omega m|$ given D and N. For D = 50 m characteristic for the open northern Adriatic and coastal Adriatic and for ω of diurnal and semidiurnal periods, N = 10, 100, 1000 cm²/s and $\zeta = 0.1$ for surface layer, $\zeta = 0.5$ for intermediate layer and for $\zeta = 0.9$ for bottom layer, the fop and for are calculated. Using these values and corresponding $|s\omega p|$ and $|s\omega m|$ determined from data the $|S\omega p|$ and $|S\omega m|$ values are calculated. The results are given in tables 3 and 4. The most consistent results are for $N = 100 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$, that is the variance of $|S\omega p|$ and $|S\omega m|$ for the considered profile is minimum. For N = 10 and N = 1000 cm²s in the considered profile the variance of the obtained $|S\omega p|$ and $|S\omega m|$ have been significantly larger than it is for $N = 100 \text{ cm}^2$ s. This may be due to the fact that the obtained results from data given in the tables 1 and 2 do not demonstrate the existence of a dinstict bottom turbulent layer with marked positive rotation for semidiurnal frequencies (characteristic for $N = 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$) or supression of negative rotation in the entire water column for both diurnal and semidiurnal frequencies (characteristic for $N = 1000 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$).

Tidal component	sωp cm/s	sωm cm/s	
Surface layer, data fi	rom August, 8 to (October 17, 1979	
M2	2.9	2.4	
S2+K2	1.3	1.2	
N2	0.5	0.3	
01	0.4	0.1	
K1+P1	1.2	0.8	
Intermediate layer, dat	a from August, 8 to	October, 17, 1979.	
M2	2.1	2.1	
S2+K2	1.2	1.2	
N2	0.2	0.2	
K-+P1	1.1	1.0	
01	0.5	0.3	
Bottom layer, data from	September, 7 to S	eptember, 27, 1979.	
M2	2.2	2.5	
S2+K2	1.1	1.2	
N2	2.1	2.0	
K1+P1	0.4	0.8	
01	0.7	0.6	

Table 1. Rotary-components of the tidal current for the station Panon

No qualitative differences between different profiles considered were found. This means that the order of magnitude of N does not depend on season (stratification) or on the distance from the coast (topography).

The mean values of $|S\omega p|$ and $|S\omega m|$ for $N = 100 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ and semidiurnal frequencies demonstrate characteristic positive rotation in the open northern

 Tidal component	sωp cm/s	sωm cm/s	
Surface layer, data fr	om February, 22 to	March, 27, 1979.	
M2	4.8	1.6	
S2+K2	2.2	1.1	
N2	0.7	0.4	
K1+P1	1.5	0.7	
01	0.6	0.5	
Bottom layer, data fr	om February, 28 to	March, 27, 1979.	
M2	2.5	2.1	
S2+K2	1.0	1.1	
N2	1.4	0.4	
K1+P1	1.4	0,9	
01	0,5	0.5	

Table 2. Rotary-conponents of the tidal current for the station Trata

Table 3a. Depth-averaged positive rotating rotary tidal current components $|S_{\omega}p| = |s_{\omega}p|/f_{\omega}p$ for the station Panon

tidal comp.	layer	$\begin{array}{l} N = 10 \text{ cm}^{2}/\text{s} \\ S\omega p \text{ cm/s} \end{array}$	$\frac{N = 100 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}}{ \text{S}\omega\text{p} \text{ cm/s}}$	$N = 1000 \text{ cm}^2\text{/s} \\ S\omega p \text{ cm/s}$
	surface	2.8	2.7	0.7
M2	intermediate	2.0	1.8	0.6
	bottom	0,5	2.5	1.6
	surface	1.3	1.2	0.3
S2+K2	intermediate	1.2	1.0	0.3
	bottom	0.3	1.2	0.8
	surface	0.5	0.5	0.1
N2	intermediate	0.2	0.2	0.1
	bottom	0.5	2.4	1.6
	surface	1.2	1.8	0.3
K1+P1	intermediate	1.1	6.1	0.1
	bottom	0.3	0.1	0.3
	surface	0.4	0.3	0.1
01	intermediate	0.5	0.2	0.5
	bottom	0.5	0.2	0.5

Adriatic as in the Taylor's solution for the reflection of Kelvin wave of semidiurnal frequencies in a semiclosed bay which qualitatively describe the semidiurnal tides in the northern Adriatic.

tidal comp.	layer	$V = 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ $ S\omega p \text{ cm/s}$	$N = 100 \text{ cm}^{2/\text{s}}$ $ S\omega p \text{ cm/s}$	$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{N} = 1000 \ \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{/s} \\ \mathrm{ S} \omega \mathrm{p} \mathrm{ \ cm/s} \end{array}$
1.	surface	2.2	1.5	40.0
M2	intermediate	1.9	1.4	35.0
	bottom	8.6	2.8	125.0
	surface	1.1	0.7	20.0
S2+K2	intermediate	1.1	0.7	20.0
	bottom	4.1	1.3	60.0
	surface	0.3	0.2	5.0
N2	intermediate	0.2	0.1	3.0
	bottom	6.9	2.2	100.0
	surface	0.7	0.3	26.0
K1+P1	intermediate	0.1	0.4	33.0
	bottom	1.1	0.9	80.0
	surface	0.1	0.0	36.0
01	intermediate	0.2	0.1	38.0
	bottom	0.8	0.7	60.0

Table 3b. Depth-averaged negative rotating rotary tidal current components $|S\omega m| = |s\omega m|/f\omega m$ for the station Panon

Table 4a. Depth-averaged positive rotating rotary tidal courrent components $|S\omega p| = |s\omega p|/f\omega p$ for the station Trata

tidal comp.	layer	$N = 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ $ S\omega p \text{ cm/s}$	$\begin{array}{l} N = 100 \ \mathrm{cm^{2/s}} \\ \mathrm{S}\omega\mathrm{p} \ \mathrm{cm/s} \end{array}$	$N = 1000 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ $ S\omega p \text{ cm/s}$
M2	surface	4.7	4.4	1.2
	bottom	0.6	2.8	1.8
S2+K2	surface	2.1	2.0	0.6
	bottom	0.2	1.1	0.7
N2	surface	0.7	0.6	0.2
	bottom	0.3	1.6	1.0
K1+P1	surface	1.5	1.0	0.3
	bottom	1.0	0.3	0.9
01	surface	0.6	0.4	0.1
	bottom	3.6	0.1	0.3

tidal comp.	layer		$\begin{array}{l} N = 100 \ \mathrm{cm}^{2/\mathrm{s}} \\ \mathrm{S}\omega\mathrm{p} \ \mathrm{cm/s} \end{array}$	$N = 1000 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ $ S\omega p \text{ cm/s}$
M2	surface	1.5	1.0	27.0
	bottom	7.2	2.3	105.0
S2+K2	surface	1.0	0.8	18.0
	bottom	3.8	1.2	55.0
N2	surface	0.4	0.2	38.0
	bottom	1.4	0.5	20.0
K1+P1	surface	0.6	0.3	23.0
	bottom	1.2	1.0	90.0
01	surface	0.5	0.2	17.0
	bottom	0.7	0.5	50.0

Table 4b. Depth-averaged negative rotating rotary tidal current components $|S\omega m| = |s\omega m|/f\omega m$ for the station Trata

CONCLUSIONS

Accepting the time independent and vertically homogenous vertical eddy viscosity coefficient in the E k m a n's equations the obtained results for the profile of tidal currents in the open northern Adriatic and in the Adriatic coastal areas demonstrate neither separate bottom turbulent layer, characteristic for the low viscosity of vertical eddy viscosity coefficient $N = 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$, nor supression of negative rotary components characteristic for high viscosity of $N = 1000 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$. This gives the order of magnitude $N = 100 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$. The viscosity is not in the order of magnitude dependent season (stratification) nor on the vicinity of the coast (topography).

REFERENCES

- Defant, A. 1961. Physical oceanography. Vol. 2. Pergamon Press, Oxford-London -New York-Paris, 598 pp.
- Gonella, J. 1972. A rotary-component method for analysins meteorolosical and oceanographic vector time series. Deep Sea Res., 19: 833-846.
- Johns, B. 1976. A note on the boundary layer at the floor of a tidal channel. Dyn. Atmos. Ocean., 1: 91—98.
- Nihoul, J. C. J., Y. Runfola and B. Roisin. 1979. Non-linear three-dimensional modellins of mesoscale circulation in seas and lakes. Marine forecasting. Elesvier Oceanography Series., pp. 235—260.
- T e e, K. T. 1979. The structure of three-dimensional tide, generating currents, Part I: Oscillatins currents. !. Phys. Ocian., 9: 930-944.

Accepted: March 5, 1986

O PROFILU STRUJA MORSKIH MIJENA U SJEVERNOM JADRANU

Mario Bone

Institut za oceanografiju i ribarstvo, Split, Jugoslavija

KRATKI SADRŽAJ

Pretpostavljen je stacionaran i vertikalno homogen koeficijent vertikalne turbulentne viskoznosti pa je studiran red veličine tog koeficijenta za struje morskih mijena u otvorenom i priobalnom sjevernom Jadranu. Rezultati su dobijeni usporedbom teorijskih profila računatih za različite redove veličina koeficijenta viskoznosti sa profilima dobijenim kao rezultat mjerenja. Za red veličine koeficijenta viskoznosti dobivena je vrijednost N = 100 cm²/s koja je nezavisna o položaju ili sezoni. Podaci nisu pokazali postojanje izdvojenog turbulentnog sloja karakterističnog za slabu viskoznost N = 10 cm²/s kao ni gušenje pozitivno rotirajuće rotacione komponente karakteristične za jaku viskoznost N = 1000 cm²/s.